# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SUZANNE BOELTER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

# ADVANCE MAGAZINE PUBLISHERS INC., d/b/a CONDÉ NAST,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Suzanne Boelter ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, by and through her attorneys, makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of her counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to allegations specifically pertaining to herself and her counsel, which are based on personal knowledge.

# NATURE OF THE CASE

1. Advance Magazine Publishers Inc., d/b/a Condé Nast ("Condé Nast"), is an international media company that publishes some of the most widely circulated magazines in the United States, including *GQ*, *The New Yorker*, *Vanity Fair*, *Vogue*, *Glamour*, *Allure*, and *Lucky*.

2. To supplement its sales and advertising revenues, Condé Nast sells its subscribers' personal information—including their full names, titles of magazines subscribed to, and home addresses (collectively "Personal Reading Information"), as well as myriad other personal, lifestyle, and demographic information such as gender, age, ethnicity, income, religion, parental status, and political affiliation—to data miners and other third parties without the written consent of its customers.

#### Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 2 of 32

3. Condé Nast's disclosure of Personal Reading Information, and other personal, demographic, and lifestyle information is not only unlawful, but also dangerous because it allows for the targeting of particularly vulnerable members of society. In fact, anyone can buy a customer list from Condé Nast that contains a number of categories of detailed subscriber information. For example, a purchase could buy a list with the names and addresses of all *Bon Appétit* subscribers who are Jewish, Republican, single, over the age of 80, with a net worth of greater than \$500,000, no children in the household, and a history of charitable donations. Condé Nast would sell such a list for approximately \$180 per thousand subscribers listed.

4. While Condé Nast profits handsomely from the unauthorized sale and disclosure of its customers' Personal Reading Information and other personal information, it does so at the expense of its subscribers' privacy rights because Condé Nast does not obtain its customers' written consent prior to selling their Personal Reading Information.

5. By selling its customers' Personal Reading Information without their written consent, Condé Nast violates Michigan's Video Rental Privacy Act, M.C.L. § 445.1712 ("VRPA"), which prohibits companies from disclosing without permission any record or information concerning a Michigan customer's purchase of written materials, if the record identifies the customer.

6. Accordingly, Plaintiff brings this Class Action Complaint against Condé Nast for its intentional and unlawful disclosure of its customers' Personal Reading Information in violation of the VRPA, and for unjust enrichment.

#### PARTIES

Plaintiff Suzanne Boelter is a natural person and citizen of the State of Michigan.Plaintiff Boelter is a subscriber to *Bon Appétit* and *Self* magazines, which are published by

# Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 3 of 32

Condé Nast. Prior to and at the time she subscribed to Bon Appétit and Self, Condé Nast did not notify Plaintiff Boelter that it discloses the Personal Reading Information of its customers, and Plaintiff Boelter has never authorized Condé Nast to do so. Furthermore, Plaintiff Boelter was never provided any written notice that Condé Nast sells its customers' Personal Reading Information, or any means of opting out. Since subscribing to Bon Appétit and Self, and continuing to present, Condé Nast has disclosed, and continues to disclose, without consent or prior notice, Plaintiff Boelter's Personal Reading Information to data mining companies including Insource and others, who then supplement that information with data from their own files. Moreover, during that same period, Condé Nast has sold – and continues to sell and offer for sale - mailing lists containing Plaintiff Boelter's Personal Reading Information to third parties seeking to contact Condé Nast subscribers, without first obtaining Plaintiff Boelter's written consent or even giving her prior notice of the disclosure and sales. Because Condé Nast sold and disclosed her Personal Reading Information, Plaintiff Boelter now receives junk mail and telephone solicitations offering discounted magazine subscriptions, among other things. These unwarranted offers waste Plaintiff Boelter's time, money, and resources. These harassing junk mail offerings and phone call solicitations received by Plaintiff Boelter are attributable to Condé Nast's unauthorized sale and disclosure of her Personal Reading Information. Because Plaintiff Boelter is entitled by law to privacy in her Personal Reading Information, and because she paid money for her subscription, Condé Nast's sale of her Personal Reading Information deprived Plaintiff Boelter of the full set of benefits to which she was entitled as a part of her Bon Appétit and Self subscriptions, thereby causing economic harm. Accordingly, what Plaintiff Boelter received (a subscription without statutory privacy protections) was less valuable than what she paid for (a subscription with accompanying statutory privacy protections), and she would

#### Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 4 of 32

not have been willing to pay as much, if at all, for her *Bon Appétit* and *Self* subscriptions had she known that Condé Nast would disclose her Personal Reading Information.

Defendant Advance Magazine Publishers Inc., d/b/a Condé Nast is a New York
 corporation with its principal place of business at 1 World Trade Center, New York, New York
 10007. Condé Nast does business throughout Michigan, New York, and the entire United States.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this civil action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because there are more than 100 class members and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest, fees, and costs, and at least one Class member is a citizen of a state different from Defendants. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Condé Nast because Condé Nast conducts substantial business within New York, such that Condé Nast has significant, continuous, and pervasive contacts with the State of New York. Additionally, Condé Nast is a New York corporation, with its principal place of business is in New York, New York.

11. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Condé Nast does substantial business in this District, a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims took place within this judicial District, and Condé Nast's principal place of business is in this District.

#### **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

#### Michigan's Video Rental Privacy Act

12. In 1988, members of the United States Senate warned that records of consumers' purchases and rentals of audiovisual and written materials offer "a window into our loves, likes, and dislikes," and that "the trail of information generated by every transaction that is now

#### Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 5 of 32

recorded and stored in sophisticated record-keeping systems is a new, more subtle and pervasive form of surveillance." S. Rep. No. 100-599 at 7–8 (1988) (statements of Sens. Simon and Leahy, respectively).

13. Recognizing the need to further protect its citizens' privacy rights, Michigan's legislature enacted the VRPA "to preserve personal privacy with respect to the purchase, rental, or borrowing of certain materials," by prohibiting companies from disclosing certain types of sensitive consumer information. H.B. No. 5331, 1988 Mich. Legis. Serv. 378 (West).

14. Section 2 of the VRPA states:

[A] person, or an employee or agent of the person, engaged in the business of selling at retail, renting, or lending books or other written materials . . . *shall not disclose* to any person, other than the customer, a record or information concerning the purchase . . . of those materials by a customer that indicates the identity of the customer.

M.C.L. § 445.1712 (emphasis added).

15. Michigan's protection of reading information reflects the "gut feeling that people ought to be able to read books and watch films without the whole world knowing," and recognizes that "[b]ooks and films are the intellectual vitamins that fuel the growth of individual thought. The whole process of intellectual growth is one of privacy—of quiet, and reflection. This intimate process should be protected from the disruptive intrusion of a roving eye." S. Rep. No. 100–599, at 6 (Statement of Rep. McCandless).

16. As Senator Patrick Leahy recognized in proposing the Video and Library Privacy Protection Act (later codified as the Video Privacy Protection Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2710), "[i]n practical terms our right to privacy protects the choice of movies that we watch with our family in our own homes. And it protects the selection of books that we choose to read." 134 Cong. Rec. S5399 (May 10, 1988).

#### Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 6 of 32

17. Senator Leahy also explained why choices in movies and reading materials are so private: "These activities are at the core of any definition of personhood. They reveal our likes and dislikes, our interests and our whims. They say a great deal about our dreams and ambitions, our fears and our hopes. They reflect our individuality, and they describe us as people." *Id.* 

18. Michigan's passage of the VRPA also established as a matter of law "that a person's choice in reading, music, and video entertainment is a private matter, and not a fit subject for consideration by gossipy publications, employers, clubs, or anyone else for that matter." *Privacy: Sales, Rentals of Videos, etc.*, House Legislative Analysis Section, H.B. No. 5331, Jan. 20, 1989 (attached hereto as **Exhibit A**).

19. Despite the fact that thousands of Michigan residents subscribe to Condé Nast publications, Condé Nast disregards its legal responsibility by systematically violating the VRPA.

#### The Personal Information Market: Consumers' Personal Information Has Real Value

20. In 2001, Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") Commissioner Orson Swindle remarked that "the digital revolution . . . has given an enormous capacity to the acts of collecting and transmitting and flowing of information, unlike anything we've ever seen in our lifetimes . . . [and] individuals are concerned about being defined by the existing data on themselves."<sup>1</sup>

21. More than a decade later, Commissioner Swindle's comments ring truer than ever, as consumer data feeds an information marketplace that supports a \$26 billion dollar per year online advertising industry in the United States.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Information Marketplace: Merging and Exchanging Consumer Data (Mar. 13, 2001), at 8:15-11:16, *available at* 

https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/public\_events/information-marketplace-merging-and-exchanging-consumer-data/transcript.pdf (last visited July 15, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *See* Web's Hot New Commodity: Privacy, WSJ.com (Feb. 28, 2011), http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703529004576160764037920274

# Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 7 of 32

22. The FTC has also recognized that consumer data possesses inherent monetary value within the new information marketplace and publicly stated that:

Most consumers cannot begin to comprehend the types and amount of information collected by businesses, or why their information may be commercially valuable. Data is currency. The larger the data set, the greater potential for analysis—and profit.<sup>3</sup>

23. In fact, an entire industry exists where companies known as data miners purchase, trade, and collect massive databases of information about consumers. Data miners then profit by selling this "extraordinarily intrusive" information in an open and largely unregulated market.<sup>4</sup>

24. The scope of data miners' knowledge about consumers is immense: "If you are

an American adult, the odds are that [they] know[] things like your age, race, sex, weight,

height, marital status, education level, politics, buying habits, household health worries, vacation

dreams-and on and on."5

25. Further, "[a]s use of the Internet has grown, the data broker industry has already

evolved to take advantage of the increasingly specific pieces of information about consumers

<sup>.</sup>html (last visited July 15, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Statement of FTC Commissioner Pamela Jones Harbour (Dec. 7, 2009), at 2, *available at* https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/public\_statements/remarks-ftc-exploring-privacy-roundtable/091207privacyroundtable.pdf (last visited July 15, 2015) (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Martha C. White, *Big Data Knows What You're Doing Right Now*, TIME.com (July 31, 2012), http://moneyland.time.com/2012/07/31/big-data-knows-what-youre-doing-right-now/ (last visited July 15, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Natasha Singer, *You for Sale: Mapping, and Sharing, the Consumer Genome*, N.Y. Times (June 16, 2012), *available at* http://www.nytimes.com/2012/06/17/technology/acxiom-the-quiet-giant-of-consumer-database-marketing.html (last visited May 12, 2015).

# Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 8 of 32

that are now available."6

26. Recognizing the serious threat the data mining industry poses to consumers' privacy, on July 25, 2012, the co-Chairmen of the Congressional Bi- Partisan Privacy Caucus sent a letter to nine major data brokerage companies seeking information on how those companies collect, store, and sell their massive collections of consumer data.<sup>7</sup>

27. In their letter, the co-Chairmen recognized that:

By combining data from numerous offline and online sources, data brokers have developed hidden dossiers on every U.S. consumer. This large[-]scale aggregation of the personal information of hundreds of millions of American citizens raises a number of serious privacy concerns.<sup>8</sup>

28. Data mining is especially troublesome when consumer information is sold to direct-mail advertisers. In addition to causing waste and inconvenience, direct-mail advertisers often use consumer information to lure unsuspecting consumers into various scams,<sup>9</sup> including fraudulent sweepstakes, charities, and buying clubs. Thus, when companies like Condé Nast share information with data miners and direct-mail advertisers, they contribute to the "[v]ast databases of names and personal information" that are often "sold to thieves by large publicly

<sup>8</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Letter from Senator John D. Rockefeller IV, Chairman, Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, to Scott E. Howe, Chief Executive Officer, Acxiom (Oct. 9, 2012) *available at* http://www.commerce.senate.gov/public/?a=Files.Serve&File\_id=3bb94703-5ac8-4157-a97b-a658c3c3061c (last visited July 15, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Bipartisan Group of Lawmakers Query Data Brokers About Practices Involving Consumers' Personal Information, Website of Senator Ed Markey (July 24, 2012), http://www.markey.senate.gov/news/press-releases/bipartisan-group-of-lawmakers-query-databrokers-about-practices-involving-consumers-personal-information (last visited July 15, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Prize Scams, Federal Trade Commission, http://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/0199-prize-scams (last visited July 15, 2015).

#### Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 9 of 32

traded companies," which "put[s] almost anyone within the reach of fraudulent telemarketers" and other criminals.<sup>10</sup>

29. Information disclosures like Condé Nast's are particularly dangerous to the elderly. "Older Americans are perfect telemarketing customers, analysts say, because they are often at home, rely on delivery services, and are lonely for the companionship that telephone callers provide."<sup>11</sup> The FTC notes that "[t]he elderly often are the deliberate targets of fraudulent telemarketers who take advantage of the fact that many older people have cash reserves or other assets to spend on seemingly attractive offers."<sup>12</sup>

30. Indeed, an entire black market exists where the personal information of vulnerable elderly Americans is exchanged. Thus, information disclosures like Condé Nast's are particularly troublesome because of their cascading nature: "Once marked as receptive to [a specific] type of spam, a consumer is often bombarded with similar fraudulent offers from a host of scam artists."<sup>13</sup>

31. Condé Nast is not alone in jeopardizing its subscribers' privacy and well-being in exchange for increased revenue: disclosing subscriber information to data miners, direct marketers, and other third parties is a widespread practice in the publishing industry.

<sup>11</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Charles Duhigg, *Bilking the Elderly, With a Corporate Assist*, N.Y. Times, May 20, 2007, *available at* http://www.nytimes.com/2007/05/20/business/20tele.html?pagewanted=all&\_r=0 (last visited July15, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Fraud Against Seniors: Hearing before the Senate Special Committee on Aging (August 10, 2000) (prepared statement of the FTC), available at https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/public\_statements/prepared-statement-federal-trade-commission-fraud-against-seniors/agingtestimony.pdf (last visited July 15, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> *See id.* 

### Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 10 of 32

32. Thus, as consumer data has become an ever-more valuable commodity, the data mining industry has experienced rapid and massive growth. Unfortunately for consumers, this growth has come at the expense of their most basic privacy rights.

# Consumers Place Monetary Value on their Privacy and Consider Privacy Practices When Making Purchases

33. As the data mining industry has grown, so too have consumer concerns regarding the privacy of their personal information.

34. A recent survey conducted by Harris Interactive on behalf of TRUSTe, Inc. showed that 89 percent of consumers polled avoid doing business with companies who they believe do not protect their privacy online.<sup>14</sup> As a result, 81 percent of smartphone users polled said that they avoid using smartphone apps that they don't believe protect their privacy online.<sup>15</sup>

35. Thus, as consumer privacy concerns grow, consumers are increasingly incorporating privacy concerns and values into their purchasing decisions and companies viewed as having weaker privacy protections are forced to offer greater value elsewhere (through better quality and/or lower prices) than their privacy- protective competitors.

36. In fact, consumers' personal information has become such a valuable commodity that companies are beginning to offer individuals the opportunity to sell their personal information themselves.<sup>16</sup>

37. These companies' business models capitalize on a fundamental tenet underlying

<sup>15</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See 2013 TRUSTe US Consumer Confidence Index, TRUSTe, http://www.truste.com/us-consumer-confidence-index-2013/(last visited July 15, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See Joshua Brustein, *Start-Ups Seek to Help Users Put a Price on Their Personal Data*, N.Y. Times (Feb. 12, 2012), *available at* http://www.nytimes.com/2012/02/13/technology/start-ups-aim-to-help-users-put-a-price-on-their-personal-data.html (last visited July 15, 2015).

#### Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 11 of 32

the personal information marketplace: consumers recognize the economic value of their private data. Research shows that consumers are willing to pay a premium to purchase services from companies that adhere to more stringent policies of protecting their personal data.<sup>17</sup>

38. Thus, in today's economy, individuals and businesses alike place a real, quantifiable value on consumer data and corresponding privacy rights.<sup>18</sup> As such, where a business offers customers a service that includes statutorily guaranteed privacy protections, yet fails to honor these guarantees, the customer receives a service of less value than the service paid for.

#### Condé Nast Unlawfully Sells its Subscribers' Personal Reading Information

39. Condé Nast maintains a vast digital database comprised of its subscribers' Personal Reading Information. Condé Nast discloses its subscribers' Personal Reading Information to data mining companies including Insource and others, who then supplement that information with additional sensitive personal information about each Condé Nast subscriber, including gender, purchasing habits, political affiliation, religious practice, charitable donations, and (when applicable) number, age, and gender of the subscriber's children. (*See, e.g.*, **Exhibits B-D**).

40. Condé Nast then sells its mailing lists—which include subscribers' Personal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See Tsai, Cranor, Acquisti, and Egelman, *The Effect of Online Privacy Information on Purchasing Behavior*, 22(2) Information Systems Research 254, 254 (2011); *see also* European Network and Information Security Agency, *Study on monetising privacy* (Feb. 27, 2012), *available at* https://www.enisa.europa.eu/activities/identity-and-trust/library/deliverables/monetising-privacy (last visited July 15, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See Hann, et al., The Value of Online Information Privacy: An Empirical Investigation (Oct. 2003) at 2, available at

http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.321.6125&rep=rep1&type=pdf (last visited July 15, 2015) ("The real policy issue is not whether consumers value online privacy. It is obvious that people value online privacy.")

#### Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 12 of 32

Reading Information identifying which individuals purchased which magazines, and can include the sensitive information obtained from data miners—to data miners, other consumer-facing businesses, non-profit organizations seeking to raise awareness and solicit donations, and to political organizations soliciting donations, votes, and volunteer efforts. (*See* Exhibits B–D).

41. As a result of Condé Nast's data compiling and sharing practices, companies can purchase mailing lists from Condé Nast that identify Condé Nast subscribers by their most intimate details: income, political affiliation, religious practice, and charitable donations. Condé Nast's disclosure of such sensitive and personal information puts consumers, especially the more vulnerable members of society, at risk of serious harm from scammers. For example, Condé Nast will sell—to anyone willing to pay for it—a list with the names and addresses of all *Bon Appétit* subscribers who are Jewish, Republican, single, over the age of 80, with a net worth of greater than \$500,000, no children in the household, and a history of charitable donations.

42. Condé Nast does not seek its subscribers' prior written consent to any of these disclosures and its subscribers remain unaware that their Personal Reading Information and other sensitive personal information is being bought and sold on the open market.

43. Consumers can sign up for Condé Nast subscriptions through numerous media outlets, including the Internet, telephone, or traditional mail. Regardless of how the consumer subscribes, Condé Nast never requires the individual to read or agree to any terms of service, privacy policy, or information-sharing policy. Consequently, Condé Nast uniformly fails to obtain any form of consent from – or even provide effective notice to – its subscribers before disclosing their Personal Reading Information.

44. As a result, Condé Nast disclosed and continues to disclose its customers'Personal Reading Information – including their reading habits and preferences that can "reveal

#### Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 13 of 32

intimate facts about our lives, from our political and religious beliefs to our health concerns"<sup>19</sup> – to anybody willing to pay for it.

45. By and through these actions, Condé Nast has intentionally disclosed to third parties its Michigan subscribers' Personal Reading Information without consent, in direct violation of the VRPA with Plaintiff and other members of the Class.

### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

46. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as all Michigan residents who had their Personal Reading Information disclosed to third parties by Condé Nast without consent (the "Class"). Excluded from the Class is any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest, and officers or directors of Defendant.

47. Members of the Class are so numerous that their individual joinder herein is impracticable. On information and belief, members of the Class number in the thousands. The precise number of Class members and their identities are unknown to Plaintiff at this time but may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the distribution records of Defendant.

48. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all Class members and predominate over questions affecting only individual Class members. Common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to: (a) whether Condé Nast is "engaged in the business of selling at retail" books or other written materials (*i.e.*, magazines); (b) whether Condé Nast obtained consent before disclosing to third parties Plaintiff's and the Class's Personal Reading Information; (c) whether Condé Nast's disclosure of Plaintiff's and the Class's Personal Reading

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> *California's Reader Privacy Act Signed into Law*, Electronic Frontier Foundation (Oct. 3, 2011), https://www.eff.org/press/archives/2011/10/03 (last visited July 15, 2015).

#### Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 14 of 32

Information violated the Video Rental Privacy Act, M.C.L. § 445.1712; and (d) whether Condé Nast's sale of Plaintiff's and the Class's Personal reading Information constitutes unjust enrichment.

49. The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Class in that the named Plaintiff and the Class sustained damages as a result of Defendant's uniform wrongful conduct, based upon Defendant's disclosure of Plaintiff's and the Class's Personal Reading Information.

50. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class members she seeks to represent, she has retained competent counsel experienced in prosecuting class actions, and she intends to prosecute this action vigorously. The interests of Class members will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and her counsel.

51. The class mechanism is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of Class members. Each individual Class member may lack the resources to undergo the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex and extensive litigation necessary to establish Defendant's liability. Individualized litigation increases the delay and expense to all parties and multiplies the burden on the judicial system presented by the complex legal and factual issues of this case. Individualized litigation also presents a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. In contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court on the issue of Defendant's liability. Class treatment of the liability issues will ensure that all claims and claimants are before this Court for consistent adjudication of the liability issues.

# <u>COUNT I</u> Violation of the Video Rental Privacy Act (M.C.L. § 445.1712)

52. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

53. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of members of the Class against Defendant Condé Nast.

54. As a magazine publisher that sells subscriptions to consumers, Condé Nast is engaged in the business of selling written materials at retail. *See* M.C.L. § 445.1712.

55. By subscribing to *Bon Appétit* and *Self*, Plaintiff purchased written materials directly from Condé Nast. *See* M.C.L. § 445.1712.

56. Because Plaintiff purchased written materials directly from Condé Nast, she is a "customer" within the meaning of the VRPA. *See* M.C.L. § 445.1711(a).

57. At all times relevant, and beginning on the dates Plaintiff initiated her *Bon Appétit* and *Self* subscriptions, Condé Nast disclosed Plaintiff's Personal Reading Information, which identified her as a *Bon Appétit* and *Self* subscriber, in at least two ways.

58. First, Condé Nast disclosed mailing lists containing Plaintiff's Personal Reading Information to data mining companies including Insource, and others, who then supplemented the mailing lists with additional sensitive information from their own databases, before sending the mailing lists back to Condé Nast.

59. Second, Condé Nast sold its mailing lists containing Plaintiff's Personal Reading Information—enhanced with additional information from data miners—to third parties, including other consumer-facing companies, direct-mail advertisers, and organizations soliciting monetary contributions, volunteer work, and votes.

#### Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 16 of 32

60. Because the mailing lists included the additional information from the data miners, the lists were more valuable, and Condé Nast was able to increase its profits gained from the mailing list sales.

61. By selling or otherwise disclosing its subscriber lists, Condé Nast disclosed to persons other than Plaintiff records or information concerning her purchase of written materials from Condé Nast. *See* M.C.L. § 445.1712.

62. The information Condé Nast disclosed indicates Plaintiff's name and address, as well as the fact that she subscribed to *Bon Appétit* and *Self*. Accordingly, the records or information disclosed by Condé Nast indicate Plaintiff's identity. *See* M.C.L.§ 445.1712.

63. Plaintiff and the members of the Class never consented to Condé Nast disclosing their Personal Reading Information to anyone.

64. Worse yet, Plaintiff and the members of the Class did not receive notice before Condé Nast disclosed their Personal Reading Information to third parties.

65. On information and belief, Condé Nast's disclosures of Plaintiff's and the Class's Personal Reading Information were not made pursuant to a court order, search warrant, or grand jury subpoena.

66. Condé Nast's disclosures of Plaintiff's and the Class's Personal Reading Information were not made to collect payment for their subscriptions.

67. Condé Nast's disclosures of Plaintiff's Personal Reading Information were made to data miners, direct-mail advertisers, and organizations soliciting monetary contributions, volunteer work, and votes—all in order to increase Condé Nast's revenue. Accordingly, Condé Nast's disclosures were not made for the exclusive purpose of marketing goods and services directly to Plaintiff and the members of the Class.

#### Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 17 of 32

68. By disclosing Plaintiff's Personal Reading Information, Condé Nast violated Plaintiff's and the Class's statutorily-protected right to privacy in their reading habits. *See* M.C.L. § 445.1712.

69. Additionally, because Plaintiff and the members of the Class paid for their Condé Nast subscriptions, and Condé Nast was obligated to comply with the VRPA, Condé Nast's unlawful disclosure of Plaintiff's and the other Class members' Personal Reading Information deprived Plaintiff and the Class members of the full value of their paid-for subscriptions. Because Plaintiff and the other Class members ascribe monetary value to the privacy of their Personal Reading Information, Condé Nast's unlawful sale and disclosure of their Personal Reading Information caused her to receive less value than she paid for, thereby causing her economic harm.

70. Likewise, because Plaintiff and the other Class members ascribe monetary value to the privacy of their Personal Reading Information, a magazine subscription that keeps their Personal Reading Information private is more valuable than one that does not.

71. Accordingly, had Plaintiff been adequately informed of Condé Nast's disclosure practices, she would not have been willing to purchase her *Bon Appétit* and *Self* subscriptions at the price charged, if at all. Thus, Condé Nast's unlawful disclosures caused Plaintiff economic harm.

72. Condé Nast's disclosure of Plaintiff's Personal Reading Information to third parties has also caused an influx of third party print advertisements and marketing calls to her cellular phone.

73. As a result of Condé Nast's unlawful and continued disclosure of their Personal Reading Information, Plaintiff and the members of the Class have suffered privacy and economic

#### Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 18 of 32

injuries. On behalf of herself and the Class, Plaintiff seeks: (1) an injunction requiring Defendant Condé Nast to obtain consent from Michigan subscribers prior to the disclosure of their Personal Reading Information as required by the VRPA; (2) actual damages, including disgorgement, or \$5,000.00, whichever is greater, per Class member pursuant to M.C.L. § 445.1715(a); and (3) costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to M.C.L. § 445.1715(b).

# <u>COUNT II</u> Unjust Enrichment

74. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.

75. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class against Defendant.

76. Plaintiff and the Class members conferred benefits on Condé Nast by providing Condé Nast with their Personal Reading Information and paying Condé Nast for their magazine subscriptions. Condé Nast received and retained the information and money belonging to Plaintiff and the Class when Plaintiff and the Class subscribed to Condé Nast publications.

77. Because Condé Nast received and processed Plaintiff's and the Class's subscription payments and Personal Reading Information, and because Condé Nast has employees handling customer accounts and billing as well as customer data, Condé Nast appreciates or has knowledge of such benefits.

78. Under the VRPA, Plaintiff and the Class members were entitled to confidentiality in their Personal Reading Information as part of their subscriptions.

79. Under principles of equity and good conscience, because Condé Nast failed to comply with the VRPA, Condé Nast should not be allowed to retain the full amount of money Plaintiff and the Class paid for their subscriptions or the money it received by selling Plaintiff's

#### Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 19 of 32

and the Class's Personal Reading Information.

80. Plaintiff and the other Class members have suffered actual damages as a result of Condé Nast's unlawful conduct in the form of the value Plaintiff and the other Class members paid for and ascribed to the confidentiality of their Personal Reading Information. This amount is tangible and will be calculated at trial.

81. Additionally, Plaintiff and the Class members have suffered actual damages inasmuch as Condé Nast's failure to inform them that it would disclose their Personal Reading Information caused them to purchase magazine subscriptions when they otherwise would not have.

82. Further, a portion of the purchase price of each Condé Nast magazine subscription sold to Plaintiff and the other Class members was intended to ensure the confidentiality of Plaintiff's and the other Class members' Personal Reading Information, as required by the VRPA. Because Plaintiff and the other Class members were denied services that they paid for and were entitled to receive—i.e., confidentiality of their Personal Reading Information—and because Plaintiff and the Class would have commanded a discount to voluntarily forego those benefits, they incurred actual monetary damages.

83. To prevent inequity, Condé Nast should return to Plaintiff and the Class the value they ascribe to confidentiality of their Personal Reading Information and all money derived from Condé Nast's sale and disclosure of Plaintiff's and the Class's Personal Reading Information.

84. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Class members seek an order declaring that Condé Nast's conduct constitutes unjust enrichment, and awarding Plaintiff and the Class restitution in an amount to be calculated at trial equal to the amount of money obtained by Condé Nast through its sale and disclosure of Plaintiff's and the Class's Personal Reading Information.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

85. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly

situated, seeks a judgment against Defendant as follows:

- A. For an order certifying the Class under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as representative of the Class and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class.
- B. For an order declaring that Defendant's conduct as described herein violates the Video Rental Privacy Act, M.C.L. § 445.1712;
- C. For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Class on all counts asserted herein;
- D. For an award of actual damages, including disgorgement and restitution, or \$5,000, whichever is greater, to Plaintiff and each Class member, as provided by the Video Rental Privacy Act, M.C.L. § 445.1715(a);
- E. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- F. For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- G. For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and;
- H. For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

# JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all causes of action and issues so triable.

Dated: July 20, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

#### **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

By: <u>/s/ Joseph I. Marchese</u> Joseph I. Marchese

Scott A. Bursor Joseph I. Marchese Philip L. Fraietta 888 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 989-9113 Facsimile: (212) 989-9163 Email: scott@bursor.com jmarchese@bursor.com pfraietta@bursor.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 22 of 32

# **EXHIBIT** A



Washington Square Building, Suite 1025 Lansing, Michigan 48909 Phone: 517/373-6466

### THE APPARENT PROBLEM:

During the period when Congressional confirmation hearings were being held on the nomination of Robert Bork to the Supreme Court, a Washington weekly obtained and published a list of videotapes rented under Bork's wife's account. Many found this to be an unwarranted invasion of privacy, and the incident prompted the introduction in Congress of a bill to protect the privacy of those who rent or buy videotapes. Many in Michigan also believe that one's choice in videos, records, and books is nobody's business but one's own, and suggest the enactment of a statute to explicitly protect a consumer's privacy in buying and borrowing such items.

#### THE CONTENT OF THE BILL:

The bill would create a new public act to preserve personal privacy with respect to the purchase, rental, or borrowing of written materials, sound recordings, and video recordings. Except as otherwise provided by law, a retailer, lender, or renter of such items could not disclose information — such as selections made — on a particular customer to any person other than that customer. Such information could be disclosed with the customer's written permission, under a court order, to the extent reasonably necessary to collect past-due payment, for the exclusive purpose of marketing goods and services directly to the consumer, or under a search warrant. Violation of the bill would be a misdemeanor.

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:

The House Fiscal Agency says that the bill would have no fiscal implications. (1-18-89)

#### **ARGUMENTS:**

#### For:

The bill would recognize that a person's choice in reading, music, and video entertainment is a private matter, and not a fit subject for consideration by gossipy publications, employers, clubs, or anyone else, for that matter. The bill would complement the Library Privacy Act, which exempts library records on a person from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act and prohibits disclosure absent a court order or the individual's consent.

**Response:** The bill, while laudable in its aims, may be unnecessary for libraries, which already are subject to civil penalties for violating the Library Privacy Act.

#### Against:

The bill could offer more in the way of recourse for injured parties if it provided for civil damages as well as criminal misdemeanor penalties. Civil remedies not only offer a person recompense for harm done: they free a person from having to rely on a prosecutor's office to pursue a case.

#### PRIVACY: SALES, RENTALS OF VIDEOS, ETC.

.....

-----

...

House Bill 5331 as enrolled Second Analysis (1-20-89)

Sponsor: Rep. David Honigman House Committee: Judiciary Senate Committee: Judiciary

**EXHIBIT B** 

http://lists.nextmark.com/market?page=order/online/datacard&id=299643

Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 25 of 32

1 Start 2 Results 3 Data Card 4 Request 5 Finished

# **Conde Nast - Enhanced Database Mailing List**

The Conde Nast Enhanced Database is overlaid with E-Tech and InfoBase Lifestyle data and includes subscribers to Vogue, W, Glamour, Allure, Self, Teen Vogue, GQ, Details, Architectural Digest, Brides, Lucky, Golf Digest, Golf World, Vanity Fair, Bon Appetit, Conde Nast Traveler, Wired, The New Yorker.

Get Count Get Pricing Get More Information

SEGMENTS	COUNTS THROUGH 05/31/201	<b>POPULARITY</b>	: 100	
6,588,000 TOTAL UNIVERSE / BASE RATE	\$110.00/\	MARKET:	CONSUMER	
6,588,000 ACTIVE SUBSCRIBERS	\$110.00/\	CHANNELS:	=	
628,000 30 DAY HOTLINE SUBSCRIBERS	+ \$17.00/\	SOURCE:	98% PAID 61%	6 DTP
1,643,000 3 MONTH HOTLINE SUBSCRIBERS	+ \$14.00/\	PRIVACY:	UNKNOWN	
3,248,000 12 MONTH FORMER SUBSCRIBERS			?: YES - MEMBER	
73,000 LAST 30 DAY CHANGE OF ADDRESS + \$14.00/M		STATUS: PREFERRED PROV		OVIDER
CATALOG RATE	CATALOG RATE \$80.00/N		USA	
FUNDRAISING	\$70.00/N	/M 58% FEMALE 369		6% MALE
NONPROFIT	\$80.00/N	CENIDED:		
DESCRIPTION		SELECTS		
The Conde Nast Enhanced Database is over	laid with F-Tech and	3 MONTH HOTLINE		\$14.00/N
		30 DAY HOTLINE		\$17.00/M
InfoBase Lifestyle data and includes subscr	ibers to Vogue, W,	3RD PARTY E	3RD PARTY BLOW-IN	
Glamour, Allure, Self, Teen Vogue, GQ, De	tails, Architectural Digest	6 MONTH HC	TLINE	\$9.00/M
5	•	ACTIVE SUBS	SCRIBERS	
Brides, Lucky, Golf Digest, Golf World, Van	hity Fair, Bon Appetit, Conde	AGE/INCOME	Ξ	\$14.00/N
Nast Traveler, Wired, The New Yorker.		CATALOG/PRODUCT BUYERS		\$14.00/N
		CHANGE OF ADDRESS		\$14.00/N
		ETHNIC/ETHNICITY		\$14.00/N
		GENDER/PAID		\$9.00/N
Active subscribers selectable by the follow	ing income ranges:	GIFT GIVERS		\$14.00/N
Active subscribers selectable by the following income ranges.			HOME ADDRESS / BUSINESS ADDRESS	
Under \$15,000		INCOME RANGES		
¢15,000, ¢10,000		LIFESTYLE INTEREST ENHHANCEMENTS		\$14.00/N
\$15,000 - \$19,000		MARITAL ST	MARITAL STATUS	
		NET WORTH		
\$20,000 - \$29,000		NEW TO FILE	-	\$14.00/N
		NIELSEN CO	UNTY	\$9.00/N
\$30,000 - \$39,000		PRESENCE OF CHILDREN		\$14.00/N
\$30,000 - \$37,000		PUBLICATION TITLE		
		RELIGION/RELIGIOUS		\$14.00/N
\$40,000 - \$49,000		RUNNING CHARGE		\$8.00/N
\$50,000 - \$74,000		SOURCE / NEW TO FILE / RENEWALS		\$14.00/N
		STATE/SCF/ZIP		\$9.00/N
		VOTER PART	Y	\$14.00/N
\$75,000 - \$99,999	575,000 - \$99,999		IG	
		KEY CODING		
\$100 000 - \$124 000		EMAIL		\$59.00/F
\$100,000 - \$124,999		FTP		\$59.00/F

Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 26 of 32

¢ 1	ЭE	000.
ЭI	20	+000

Adult Age Ranges (Active subscriber counts)

18-24 = 220,658

- 25-34 = 867,846
- 35-44 = 978,871
- 45-54 = 1,156,504
- 55-64 = 1,178,913
- 65-74 = 720,538

75 + = 443,222

Note: A signed list rental agreement from mailer is required on an annual basis. List owner will not rent to sweepstakes, surveys, contests or telemarketing offers. Email Addresses are not available.

3,000 Minimum will apply on all reuse orders.

#### ORDERING INSTRUCTIONS

- To order this list, contact your List Broker and ask for NextMark List ID #299643 or click here to place your request.
- 10,000 NAME MINIMUM ORDER \$0.00 MINIMUM PAYMENT
- 85% NET NAME AVAILABLE ON ORDERS OF 50,000 OR MORE (\$15.00/M RUN CHARGE)
- EXCHANGE IS AVAILABLE
- REUSE IS AVAILABLE ON ORDERS OF 3,000
- CANCELLATION FEE AT \$100.00/F

Get Count Get Pricing Get More Information

RELATED LISTS
E HEARST MASTERFILE
CONSUMER REPORTS
SMITHSONIAN MAGAZINE
BONNIER CORPORATION ENHANCED MASTERFILE
■ I-BEHAVIOR DATABASE
ITIME INC. AFFLUENT MEDIA GROUP ENHANCED MASTERFILE
■ MEREDITH DATABASE - ENHANCED MASTERFILE
■ NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY ENHANCED MASTERFILE
AMERICAN LUNG ASSOCIATION
EI TIME INC. MAGAZINES GROUP ENHANCED MASTERFILE

**EXHIBIT C** 

http://lists.nextmark.com/market?page=order/online/datacard&id=299838

1 Start 2 Results 3 Data Card 4 Request 5 Finished

# Conde Nast - Woman's Group Enhanced Database **Mailing List**

The Conde Nast Women's Group Enhanced Database is comprised of the female subscribers to the Conde Nast titles. This database is overlaid with E-Tech and InfoBase Lifestyle data and includes female subscribers to Vogue, W, Glamour, Allure, Self, Teen Vogue, GQ, Details, Architectural Digest, Brides, Lucky, Golf Digest, Golf World, Vanity Fair, Bon Appetit, Conde Nast Traveler, Wired, The New Yorker.

Get Count Get Pricing Get More Information

SEGMENTS	COUNTS THR	OUGH 05/31/2015	POPULARITY:	96	
3,646,774 TOTAL UNIVERS	E / BASE RATE	\$110.00/M	/M MARKET: CONSUMER		
3,646,774 ACTIVE FEMALE	SUBSCRIBERS	+ \$14.00/M	CHANNELS:	11 C	
414,503 30 DAY HOTLINE	FEMALE SUBSCRIBERS	+ \$31.00/M	SOURCE:	CE: 98% PAID 61% DTF	
1,028,630 3 MONTH HOTLI	NE FEMALE SUBSCRIBERS	+ \$28.00/M	M PRIVACY: UNKNOWN		
CATALOG RATE		\$80.00/M			2
FUNDRAISING		\$70.00/M	STATUS:	TUS: PREFERRED PROVID	
NONPROFIT		\$80.00/M	GEO: USA		
DESCRIPTION			GENDER:	100% FEMALE	
The Conde Nast Women's	Group Enhanced Database is co	omprised of the	SELECTS		
	•	•	3 MONTH HOT	LINE	\$14.00/N
female subscribers to the	Conde Nast titles. This databa	ase is overlaid	30 DAY HOTLINE		\$17.00/M
with E-Tech and InfoBase	Lifestyle data and includes fer	nale	3RD PARTY BLOW-IN		\$10.00/N
	5		6 MONTH HOTLINE		\$9.00/M
subscribers to vogue, w,	Glamour, Allure, Self, Teen Vo	gue, GQ,	AGE/INCOME		\$14.00/N
Details, Architectural Digest, Brides, Lucky, Golf Digest, Golf World, Vanity Fair, Bon Appetit, Conde Nast Traveler, Wired, The New			CATALOG/PRODUCT BUYERS		\$14.00/N
5	conde Nast Traveler, wired, Tr	ne new	CHANGE OF ADDRESS		\$14.00/N
Yorker.			ETHNIC/ETHNICITY		\$14.00/N
			GENDER/PAID		\$9.00/N
			GIFT GIVERS		\$14.00/N
			HOME ADDRES	SS / BUSINESS	\$14.00/N
Note: A signed list rental agreement from mailer is required on an annual basis. List owner will not rent to sweepstakes, surveys, contests or telemarketing offers. Email Addresses are not available.			HUNTING / FISHING INTEREST \$14		\$14.00/N
			LIFESTYLE INTEREST ENHHANCEMENTS		\$14.00/N
contests of telemarketing	offers. Email Addresses die fi		MARITAL STATUS		\$14.00/N
			NEW TO FILE		\$14.00/N
			NIELSEN COU	NTY	\$9.00/N
			PRESENCE OF	CHILDREN	\$14.00/N
3,000 Minimum will apply	on all reuse orders.		PRODUCT		\$14.00/N
e,eee			RELIGION/RELIGIOUS		\$14.00/N
			RUNNING CHARGE		\$8.00/N
<ul> <li>ORDERING INSTRUCTIONS</li> <li>To order this list, contact your List Broker and ask for NextMark List ID #299838 or click here to place your request.</li> </ul>		SOURCE / NEW TO FILE / RENEWALS		\$14.00/N	
			STATE/SCF/ZIP		\$9.00/M
10.000 NAME MINIMUM	ORDER \$0.00 MINIMUM PAYMENT		VOTER PARTY \$14.		\$14.00/N
			ADDRESSING	6	
	BLE ON ORDERS OF 50,000 OR MOR	(\$8.00/M RUN	KEY CODING		\$2.00/N
CHARGE)			EMAIL		\$59.00/F

#### Conde Nast - Woman's Group Enhanced Database Mailing List

http://lists.nextmark.com/market?page=order/online/datacard&id=299838

Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 29 of 32

EXCHANGE IS AVAILABLE	FTP	\$59.00/F		
REUSE IS AVAILABLE ON ORDERS OF 3,000	RELATED LISTS			
	<u>≡</u> TIME INC. CO	RPORATE DATABASE		
• CANCELLATION FEE AT \$100.00/F	<b>■</b> BOARDROOM	■ BOARDROOM MASTERFILE		
	I HEARST MAS	ERFILE - LIFESTYLE		
	■ MEREDITH DA MASTERFILE	TABASE - ENHANCED		
	I HIGHLIGHTS ENHANCED M	FOR CHILDREN ASTERFILE		
	I ALLURE MAG	ZINE		
	I GLAMOUR MA	GAZINE		
	<sub>■ </sub> CONDE NAST	- MEN'S GROUP		
		ATABASE		
	■ GUTHY-RENKI	ER MASTERFILE		
	I HEARST MAST	ERFILE		

Get Count Get Pricing Get More Information

**EXHIBIT D** 

http://lists.nextmark.com/market?page=order/online/datacard&id=299832

Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 31 of 32

1 Start 2 Results 3 Data Card 4 Request 5 Finished

# Conde Nast - Religious Subscribers Mailing List

Now Enhanced with E-Tech Data! This masterfile is comprised of subscribers to upscale publications by Condé Nast. Titles include: Vogue, W, Glamour, Allure, Self, Teen Vogue, GQ, Details, Architectural Digest, Brides, Lucky, Golf Digest, Golf World, Vanity Fair, Bon Appetit, Conde Nast Traveler, Wired, The New Yorker.

SEGMENTS CO	OUNTS THROUGH	1 05/31/2015	POPULARITY:	93	
4,117,384 TOTAL UNIVERSE / BASE RATE			M MARKET: CONSUMER		
151,512 ACTIVE BUDDHIST SUBSCRIBERS		+ \$14.00/M	CHANNELS:		
2,061,720 ACTIVE CATHOLIC SUBSCRIBERS		+ \$14.00/M			% DTP
84,791 ACTIVE EASTERN ORTHODOX SUBSCRIBER	RS	+ \$14.00/M			
50,419 ACTIVE HINDU SUBSCRIBERS			YES - MEMBER	R	
		PREFERRED P			
287,213 ACTIVE JEWISH SUBSCRIBERS		+ \$14.00/M			
6,281 ACTIVE LUTHERAN SUBSCRIBERS		+ \$14.00/M	SELECTS		
25,178 ACTIVE MORMON SUBSCRIBERS		+ \$14.00/M			\$14.00/N
4,117,384 ACTIVE PROTESTANT SUBSCRIBERS		+ \$14.00/M			\$17.00/N
32,630 ACTIVE SHINTO SUBSCRIBERS		+ \$14.00/M	3RD PARTY BL		\$10.00/N
CATALOG RATE		\$80.00/M	6 MONTH HOT		\$9.00/N
FUNDRAISING		\$70.00/M	AGE/INCOME		\$14.00/N
NONPROFIT		\$80.00/M			\$14.00/N
					\$14.00/N
Now Enhanced with E-Tech Data! This masterfi	ile is comprise	ed of	ETHNIC/ETHNICITY		\$14.00/N
subscribers to upscale publications by Condé Na	ast. Titles inc	lude:	GENDER/PAID		\$9.00/N
Voque W. Clamour Allure Self Teen Voque (	CO Dotails		GIFT GIVERS		\$14.00/N
Vogue, W, Glamour, Allure, Self, Teen Vogue, GQ, Details, Architectural Digest, Brides, Lucky, Golf Digest, Golf World, Vanity			HOME ADDRESS / BUSINESS ADDRESS		\$14.00/N
Fair, Bon Appetit, Conde Nast Traveler, Wired, The New Yorker.					
rall, boll Appetit, conde Nast Traveler, wheu,	The New York	ker.	LIFESTYLE INT ENHHANCEMEI		\$14.00/N
rail, boll Appetit, conde Nast Traveler, wheu,	The New York	ker.	LIFESTYLE INT ENHHANCEMEI MARITAL STAT	NTS	
rall, boll appetit, conde Nast Traveler, whed,	The New York	ker.	ENHHANCEME	NTS	\$14.00/N
ran, bon appent, conde Nast Traveler, whed,	The New York	ker.	ENHHANCEMEI MARITAL STAT	NTS <sup>-</sup> US	\$14.00/N \$14.00/N
			ENHHANCEMEN MARITAL STAT NEW TO FILE	NTS TUS NTY	\$14.00/N \$14.00/N \$9.00/N
			ENHHANCEMEN MARITAL STAT NEW TO FILE NIELSEN COUM	NTS TUS NTY	\$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M \$14.00/M
Note: A signed list rental agreement from maile	er is required	on an	ENHHANCEMEN MARITAL STAT NEW TO FILE NIELSEN COUM PRESENCE OF	NTS TUS NTY CHILDREN	\$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M \$14.00/M
Note: A signed list rental agreement from maile annual basis. List owner will not rent to sweep	er is required ostakes, survey	on an ys,	ENHHANCEMEN MARITAL STAT NEW TO FILE NIELSEN COUM PRESENCE OF PRODUCT	NTS TUS NTY CHILDREN TITLE	\$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M
Note: A signed list rental agreement from maile annual basis. List owner will not rent to sweep	er is required ostakes, survey	on an ys,	ENHHANCEMEN MARITAL STAT NEW TO FILE NIELSEN COUM PRESENCE OF PRODUCT PUBLICATION	NTS TUS NTY CHILDREN TITLE IGIOUS	\$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M
Note: A signed list rental agreement from maile annual basis. List owner will not rent to sweep	er is required ostakes, survey	on an ys,	ENHHANCEMEN MARITAL STAT NEW TO FILE NIELSEN COUM PRESENCE OF PRODUCT PUBLICATION RELIGION/REL	NTS TUS NTY CHILDREN TITLE IGIOUS RGE	\$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$8.00/M
Note: A signed list rental agreement from maile annual basis. List owner will not rent to sweep	er is required ostakes, survey	on an ys,	ENHHANCEMEN MARITAL STAT NEW TO FILE NIELSEN COUM PRESENCE OF PRODUCT PUBLICATION RELIGION/REL RUNNING CHA SOURCE / NEW	NTS TUS NTY CHILDREN TITLE IGIOUS RGE V TO FILE /	\$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$8.00/M
Note: A signed list rental agreement from maile annual basis. List owner will not rent to sweep contests or telemarketing offers. Email Addres	er is required ostakes, survey	on an ys,	ENHHANCEMEN MARITAL STAT NEW TO FILE NIELSEN COUM PRESENCE OF PRODUCT PUBLICATION RELIGION/REL RUNNING CHA SOURCE / NEW RENEWALS	NTS TUS NTY CHILDREN TITLE IGIOUS RGE V TO FILE /	\$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$8.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M
Note: A signed list rental agreement from maile annual basis. List owner will not rent to sweep contests or telemarketing offers. Email Addres	er is required ostakes, survey	on an ys,	ENHHANCEMEN MARITAL STAT NEW TO FILE NIELSEN COUN PRESENCE OF PRODUCT PUBLICATION RELIGION/REL RUNNING CHA SOURCE / NEW RENEWALS STATE/SCF/ZIN VOTER PARTY	NTS TUS NTY CHILDREN TITLE IGIOUS RGE V TO FILE / P	\$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$8.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M
Note: A signed list rental agreement from maile annual basis. List owner will not rent to sweep contests or telemarketing offers. Email Addres	er is required ostakes, survey	on an ys,	ENHHANCEMEN MARITAL STAT NEW TO FILE NIELSEN COUN PRESENCE OF PRODUCT PUBLICATION RELIGION/REL RUNNING CHA SOURCE / NEW RENEWALS STATE/SCF/ZII VOTER PARTY	NTS TUS NTY CHILDREN TITLE IGIOUS RGE V TO FILE / P	\$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M
Note: A signed list rental agreement from maile annual basis. List owner will not rent to sweep contests or telemarketing offers. Email Addres: 3,000 Minimum will apply on all reuse orders.	er is required ostakes, survey	on an ys,	ENHHANCEMEN MARITAL STAT NEW TO FILE NIELSEN COUN PRESENCE OF PRODUCT PUBLICATION RELIGION/REL RUNNING CHA SOURCE / NEW RENEWALS STATE/SCF/ZIN VOTER PARTY	NTS TUS NTY CHILDREN TITLE IGIOUS RGE V TO FILE / P	\$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M \$14.00/M \$2.00/M
Note: A signed list rental agreement from maile annual basis. List owner will not rent to sweep contests or telemarketing offers. Email Addres: 3,000 Minimum will apply on all reuse orders.	er is required ostakes, survey sses are not av	on an ys, vailable.	ENHHANCEMEN MARITAL STAT NEW TO FILE NIELSEN COUM PRESENCE OF PRODUCT PUBLICATION RELIGION/REL RUNNING CHA SOURCE / NEW RENEWALS STATE/SCF/ZII VOTER PARTY ADDRESSING KEY CODING	NTS TUS NTY CHILDREN TITLE IGIOUS RGE V TO FILE / P	\$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M \$14.00/M \$9.00/M
Note: A signed list rental agreement from maile annual basis. List owner will not rent to sweep contests or telemarketing offers. Email Address 3,000 Minimum will apply on all reuse orders.	er is required ostakes, survey sses are not av	on an ys, vailable.	ENHHANCEMEN MARITAL STAT NEW TO FILE NIELSEN COUN PRESENCE OF PRODUCT PUBLICATION RELIGION/REL RUNNING CHA SOURCE / NEV RENEWALS STATE/SCF/ZII VOTER PARTY ADDRESSING KEY CODING EMAIL	NTS US NTY CHILDREN TITLE IGIOUS RGE V TO FILE / P	\$14.00/W \$14.00/W \$14.00/W \$14.00/W \$14.00/W \$14.00/W \$14.00/W \$14.00/W \$14.00/W \$14.00/W \$14.00/W \$59.00/W \$59.00/W

Conde Nast - Religious Subscribers Mailing List

http://lists.nextmark.com/market?page=order/online/datacard&id=299832

# Case 1:15-cv-05671-NRB Document 1 Filed 07/20/15 Page 32 of 32

<ul> <li>85% NET NAME AVAILABLE ON ORDERS OF 50,000 OR MORE (\$8.00/M RUN CHARGE)</li> <li>EXCHANGE IS AVAILABLE</li> <li>REUSE IS AVAILABLE ON ORDERS OF 3,000</li> <li>CANCELLATION FEE AT \$100.00/F</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>■ DISABLED AMERICAN VETERANS ACTIVE DONORS</li> <li>■ TIME INC. MAGAZINES GROUP ENHANCED MASTERFILE</li> <li>■ PARALYZED VETERANS OF AMERICA PREMIUM DONOR MASTERFILE</li> <li>■ NOZA ENHANCED DONOR MASTERFILE</li> </ul>
Get Count Get Pricing Get More Informa	<ul> <li>⇒ 3D MULTI-TOUCH MAIL ORDER BUYERS</li> <li>⇒ CHRISTIAN BOOK DISTRIBUTORS CATALOG BUYERS</li> <li>⇒ RODALE, INC. MASTERFILE</li> <li>⇒ ACTION NETWORK DONOR</li> <li>⇒ ACTION NETWORK DONOR</li> <li>⇒ CHECKS UNLIMITED</li> </ul>